United States Department of Agriculture **Natural Resources Conservation Service**

OMB No. 0578-0030 NRCS-PDM-20

DAMAGE SURVEY REPORT (DSR) **Emergency Watershed Protection Program - Recovery**

Section 1A	NRCS Entry Only Eligible: YES NO	
Date of Report: 09/25/2005	Approved: YES NO	
DSR Number: 109-05-004R Project Number:	Funding Priority Number (from Section 4) LE Limited Resource Area: YES NO	
Section 1B Sponsor Sponsor Name: _Terrebonne Levee and Conservation District	r Information	
Address: 5500 Highway 56		
City/State/Zip: Chauvin, Louisiana 70344		
Telephone Number: (985) 594-4104 Fax: (985) 594-	9255	
Section 1C Site Locat	ion Information	
County: Terrebonne State: Louisiana	Congressional District: 3 rd	
	ection: 15 Township: 20 S Range: 18 E	
UTM Coordinates:		
Drainage Name: Chauvin (7th Ward)	each:	
Damage Description: Repair breached areas in Levee		
Section 1D Site	Evaluation	
All anguage in this Section must be VES in order to be aligible for EW	/D assistance	
All answers in this Section must be YES in order to be eligible for EW Site Eligibility	YES NO Remarks	
Damage was a result of a natural disaster?*	TEO TO ROBERTS	
Damage was a result of a natural disaster.		
Recovery measures would be for runoff retardation or soil erosion prevention?*	V	
Threat to life and/or property?*		
Event caused a sudden impairment in the watershed?*		
Imminent threat was created by this event?**		
imminent threat was created by this event:		
For structural repairs, not repaired twice within ten years?**	V	
Site Defensibility		
Economic, environmental, and social documentation adequate to warrant action (Go to pages 3, 4, 5 and 6 ***)	V	
Proposed action technically viable? (Go to Page 9 ***)	V	
Here all the communicate store bear tolers to a series that all	f the offerted named tion have been informed of the EWD	
Have all the appropriate steps been taken to ensure that all segments of the affected population have been informed of the EWP program and its possible effects? YES NO		
Comments:		
* Statutory		

^{**} Regulation

*** DSR Pages 3 through 5 are required to support the decisions recorded on this summary page. If additional space is needed on this or any other page in this form,

Section E Poposed Ation

Describe the preferred alternative from Findings: Section 5 A:

Total installation cost identified in this DSR: Section 3: \$_140,950.00

Section INRCS State Ofce Reviewnd Aproval

Reviewed By: State EWP Program Manager

Date Reviewed: 10/4/05

Approved By:

State Conservationist

PRIVACY ACT AND PUBLIC BURDEN STATEMENT

NOTE: The following statement is made in accordance with the Privacy Act of 1974, (5 U.S.C. 552a) and the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, as amended. The authority for requesting the following information is 7 CFR 624 (EWP) and Section 216 of the Flood Control Act of 1950, Public Law 81-516, 33 U.S.C. 701b-1; and Section 403 of the Agricultural Credit Act of 1978, Public Law 95334, as amended by Section 382, of the Federal Agriculture Improvement and Reform Act of 1996, Public Law 104-127, 16 U.S.C. 2203. EWP, through local sponsors, provides emergency measures for runoff retardation and erosion control to areas where a sudden impairment of a watershed threatens life or property. The Secretary of Agriculture has delegated the administration of EWP to the Chief or NRCS on state, tribal and private lands.

Signing this form indicates the sponsor concurs and agrees to provide the regional cost-share to implement the EWP recovery measure(s) determined eligible by NRCS under the terms and conditions of the program authority. Failure to provide a signature will result in the applicant being unable to apply for or receive a grant the applicable program authorities. Once signed by the sponsor, this information may not be provided to other agencies. IRS, Department of Justice, or other State or Federal Law Enforcement agencies, and in response to a court or administrative tribunal.

The provisions of criminal and civil fraud statutes, including 18 U.S.C. 286, 287, 371, 641, 651, 1001; 15 U.S.C. 714m; and 31 U.S.C. 3729 may also be applicable to the information provided. According to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, an agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number. The valid OMB control number for this information collection is 0578-0030. The time required to complete this information collection is estimated to average 117/1.96 minutes/hours per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, field reviews, gathering, designing, and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection information.

USDA NONDISCRIMINATION STATEMENT

"The U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA) prohibits discrimination in all its programs and activities on the basis of race, color, national origin, age, disability, and where applicable, sex, martial status, familial status, parental status, religion, sexual orientation, genetic information, political beliefs, reprisal, or because all or part of an individual's income is derived from any public assistance program. (Not all prohibited bases apply to all programms.) Persons with disabilities who require alternative means for communication of program information (Braille, large print, audiotape, etc.) should contact USDA's TARGET Center at (202)720-2600 (vocie and TDD). To file a complaint of discrimination, write to USDA, Director, Office of Civil Rights, 1400 Independence Avenue, SW., Washington, DC 20250-9410, or call (800)795-3272 (voice) or (202) 720-6382 (TDD). USDA is an equal opportunity provider and employer.

Civil Rights Statement of Assurance

The program or activities conducted under this agreement will be in compliance with the nondiscrimination provisions contained in the Titles VI and VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, as amended; the Civil Rights Restoration Act of 1987 (Public Law 100-259); and other nondiscrimination statutes: namely, Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973, Title IX of the Amendments of 1972, the Age Discrimination Act of 1975, and the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990. They will also be in accordance with regulations of the Secretary of Agriculture (7 CFR 15, 15a, and 15b), which provide that no person in the United States shall on the grounds of race, color, national origin, gender, religion, age or disability, be excluded from participation in, be denied the benefits of, or otherwise subjected to discrimination under any program or activity receiving Federal financial assistance from the U.S. Department of Agriculture or any agency thereof.

Section 2 Environmental Evaluation

2A Resource 2B Existing		2C Alternative Designation				
Concerns	Condition	Proposed Action	No Action	Alternative		
		earthen fill place on levee to close breech.	Allow breach to remain	Sheet pile tied into existing levee to close breach		
i da esta asserbia sinti	e produktion nie die nie eine in die Needen nie die deel Spielen in die die	identificações estados 2	D Effects of Alternativ	res		
Soil						
Mass Movement	15tn/ac.	<2 tn/ac.	30 tn/ac.	<2 tn/ac.		
Construction	N/A	.5 in/ac.	N/A	.5 tn/ac.		
Water						
Downstream water rights						
Suspended sediments	increasing turbidity	short-term turbidity	continued turbidity	short-term turbidity long-term improvements		
Air						
Particulate matter	no effect	slight increase	no effect	slight increase		
Plant						
SAV	limited with turbidity	increase with low turbidity/ salinity	few	increase with lower turbidity		
Animal						
freshwater habitat div.	lower due to salinity/sediments	increase due to decrease salinity	decrease	same as proposed		
Other						
flood potential off site	increased	decreased	increased	decreased		

Section 2E Special Environmental Concerns

Resource	Existing Condition	EE Special Environme	Alternatives and Effects	3
Consideration	2.moving comments	Proposed Action	No Action	Alternative
Clean Water Act Waters of the U.S.	limited for salinity sediments and flooding	lower sediments, salinity, flood damage CWA permit needed	increase in salinity, sediments, flooding.	same as proposed
Coastal Zone Management Areas	wilh in CZM Area	CUP needed	. N/A	CUP Needed
Coral Reefs	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Colai Icolo	state level review needed		,	
Cultural Resources				
Endangered and Threatened Species	(FOTG) USFWS, LDWF has listed species in parish but unlikely in project area	low or no impact	no impact	low or no impact
Environmental Justice	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Essential Fish Habitat	·N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Fish and Wildlife Coordination	no stream modifications proposed	will be address if needed in CWA permit process	NA	same as proposed
Floodplain Management	with in 100 year floodplain	increase flood control	function impaired	increase flood control
	Chinese tallow tree present inside levee system	no impact	no impact	no impact
Invasive Species Migratory Birds	habitat inside levee reduced due to increase salinities	protection for habitat	Habitat inside levee reduced	protection for habitat
Migiatory Birds	none identified	N/A	N/A	N/A
Natural Areas Prime and Unique	none identified	N/A	NA	N/A
Farmlands	N/A			
Riparian Areas	N/A			
Scenic Beauty				
XV-411-	salinity/sediments impacted inside levee	poteotion for intermediate to freshwater marshes	salinity/sediments impacts	same as proposed
Wetlands	N/A			
Wild and Scenic Rivers				

Completed By:	John Pitre & Michael Trusciair	Date: 09/25/2005	

DSR NO:	109-05-004R

Section 2F Economic

This section must be completed by each alternative considered (attach additional sheets as necessary).

This section must be completed by each afternative	Future Damages (\$)	Damage Factor (%)	Near Term Damage Reduction
Properties Protected (Private)			
1,478 Homes @ 90,000.00	133,020,000.00	25	33,255,000.00
4 Cemeteries @ 150,000.00	600,000.00	25	150,000.00
1 Chuce L	3,000,000.00	25	750,000.00
1 Boat Rental/Dry Dock	2,000,000.00	25	500,000.00
Properties Protected (Public)			
3 5chools @ 500,000.00	1,500,000.00	25	375,000.00
1 School	5,000,000.00	25	1,250,000.00
3 Pump Station @ 500,000.00	1,500,000.00	25.00	375,000.00
2 Fire Station @ 1,350,000.00	2,700,000.00	25	675,000.00
Business Losses	,		
50 Business @ 250,000.00	12500000.00	25	3,125,000.00
/ Marina	800,000.00	25	200,000.00
5 Factories @ 1,000,000.00	5,000,000.00	25	1,250,000.00
1 Factory @ 6,000,000.00	6,000,000.00	25	1,500,000.00
Other			
2 Ship Yards @ 1,000,000.00	1,000,000.00	25	250,000.00
4 Banks @ 500,000.00	2000000.00	25	500,000.00
1 Post Office	150,000.00	25	37,500.00
1 Library	500,000.00	25	125,000.00
2 Recreation Center @1,000,000.00	2,000,000.00	25	500,000.00
7	otal Near Term Da	mage Reduction \$	44,317,500.00
Net Benefit (Total Near Term Damage F	Reduction minus Co	ost from Section 3)	44,176,550.00

Completed By: Michael Trusclair	Date:09/25/2005
---------------------------------	-----------------

109-05-004R

Section 2G Social Consideration This section must be completed by each alternative considered

(attach additional sheets as necessary).

	YES	NO	Remarks
Has there been a loss of life as a result of the watershed impairment?	Party-value 4	V. V	
Is there the potential for loss of life due to damages from the watershed impairment?	V	PH WAR.	Storm surge from Hurricane Rita breached several sections of protection Levee
Has access to a hospital or medical facility been impaired by watershed impairment?	V	The state of the s	
Has the community as a whole been adversely impacted by the watershed impairment (life and property ceases to operate in a normal capacity)	<u>\</u>	22 (1-1) 2 (1-	
Is there a lack or has there been a reduction of public safety due to watershed impairment?	<u>v</u>		
Completed By: Michael Trusclair		Date:	09/27/2005

DSR NO:	
	109-05-004R

Section 2H Group Representation and Disability Information

This section is completed only for the preferred alternative selected.

Group Representation	Number
American Indian/Alaska Native Female Hispanic	0
American Indian/Alaska Native Female Non-Hispanic	45
American Indian/Alaska Native Male Hispanic	0
American Indian/Alaska Native Male Non-Hispanic	47
Asian Female Hispanic	0
Asian Female Non-Hispanic	12
Asian Male Hispanic	0
Asian Male Non-Hispanic	12
Black or African American Female Hispanic	0
Black or African American Female Non-Hispanic	113
Black or African American Male Hispanic	0
Black or African American Male Non-Hispanic	116
Hawaiian Native/Pacific Islander Female Hispanic	0
Hawaiian Native/Pacific Islander Female Non-Hispanic	0
Hawaiian Native/Pacific Islander Male Hispanic	0
Hawaiian Native/Pacific Islander Male Non-Hispanic	1
White Female Hispanic	12
White Female Non-Hispanic	2,045
White Male Hispanic	13
White Male Non-Hispanic	2,094
Total Group	1489 4,510

Census tract(s)_	ct(s)South Central Planning & Development Commission		
Completed By:	Michael Trusclair	Date:	09/25/2005

DSR NO:	109-05-004R
DOIL HO.	

Section 21. Required consultation or coordination between the lead agency and/or the RFO and another governmental unit including tribes:

Easements, permissions, or permits:

Sponsor shall obtain CWA permit and CUP

Mitigation Description:

Borrow and fill materials shall be wetted to reduce dust.

Construction shall be conducted ASAP in October and continued until complete to avoid start/stop disturbance if any Bald eagles winter in areas.

Agencies, persons, and references consulted, or to be consulted:

US Army Corps of Engineers State Historic Preservation Office LDNR

DSR NO:	109-05 - 004R	

Section 3 Engineering Cost Estimate

Completed By: Dale Garber	Date: 10/02/2005	_
---------------------------	------------------	---

This section must be completed by each alternative considered (attach additional sheets as necessary).

Proposed Recovery Measure (including mitigation)	Quantity	Units	Unit Cost (\$)	Amount (\$)
Mobilization / Demobilization	1	LS	10,000.00	10,000.00
Levee Repair-Earth fill	5158	CY ,	25.00	128,950.00
Seeding	1	LS	2,000.00	2,000.00
				0.00
Laborate PAY		William Willia		0.00
				0.00
				0.00
				0.00
				0.00
				0.00
				0.00
				0.00
				0.00
				0.00
				0.00
				0.00
1.0000				0.00
				0.00
				0.00
	Total In	stallation Cost (E	nter in Section 1F)\$	140,950.00

Unit Abbreviations:

AC Acre
CY Cubic Yard

LS Lump Sum SF Square Feet

EA Each

SY Square Yard

HR Hour LF Linear Feet TN Ton Other (Specifiy)

DSR NO:
DSR NO:

Section 4NRCS Emmdingfiority

Complete the following section to compute the funding priority for the recovery measures in this application (see instructions on page 10).

Hority Rank ingCriteria	Yes	No		Ranking Number Rus Miér
1. Is this an exigency situation?	V			1
2. Is this a site where there is serious, but not immediate threat to human life?				
3. Is this a site where buildings, utilities, or other important infrastructure components are threatened?	V			
4. Is this site a funding priority established by the NRCS Chief?	V	, 🗀		
The filoingare modifers for the above criteria			delifer	
a. Will the proposed action or alternatives protect or conserve federally-listed threatened and endangered species or critical habitat?	100 March 1997			
b. Will the proposed action or alternatives protect or conserve cultural sites listed on the National Register of Historic Places?				
c. Will the proposed action or alternatives protect or conserve prime or important farmland?				
d. Will the proposed action or alternatives protect or conserve existing wetlands?		e de la desemble de la decemble de La decemble de la de	d	
a. Will the proposed action or alternatives maintain or improve current water quality conditions?				
f. Will the proposed action or alternatives protect or conserve unique habitat, including but not limited to, areas inhabited by State-listed species, fish and wildlife management area, or State identified sensitive habitats?				

Enter priority computation in Section 1A, NRCS Entry, Funding priority number.

Remarks:

DSR NO:	109-05-004R

Section 5A Findings

Finding: Indicate the preferred alternative f	rom Section 2 (Enter to Section 1E):
I have considered the effects of the action and the Concerns; and the extraordinary circumstances	he alternatives on the Environmental Economic, Social; the Special Environmental (40 CFR 1508.27). I find for the reasons stated below, that the preferred alternative
Has been sufficiently analyzed in Chapter 5.2.2.3.2 Chapter Chapter Chapter Chapter Chapter Chapter Chapter	the EWP PEIS (reference all that apply)
The action will be referred to the NRCS	environmental assessment or environmental impact statement. S State Office on this date:
NRCS representative of the DSR team Title: Michael Trusclair	
Section 5B Comments:	
Section 5C	Sponsor Concurrence:
Sponsor Representative Title: Section 6 Attachments: A. Location Map B. Site Plan or Sketches	
C. Other (explain)	

Section 3 Engineering Cost Estimate

Completed By: DALE GARBER	Date:	10/2/05
---------------------------	-------	---------

This section must be completed by each alternative considered (attach additional sheets as necessary).

Proposed Recovery Measure	Quantity	Units	Unit Cost (\$)	Amount (\$)
(including mitigation)	Zumine,		1	
MOB / DEMOR	/	45	10,000.00	70,000.00
			,	
LEVEE PEPAIR-EARTHFUL	5158	CY	#25.00	128,950.00
			A.	
SEEDING	<u> </u>	LS	#2000.00	2000.00
·	Total Installation	Cost (Enter in S	ection 1F) \$	140,950.00

Unit Abbreviations:


AC Acre LS Lump Sum
CY Cubic Yard SF Square Feet
EA Each SY Square Yard


HR Hour TN Ton

LF Linear Feet Other (Specify)

LOUISIANA TERREBONNE PARISH EWP D. GARBER 1012/05 WARD 7 LEVEE REPAIR

DSR #: 109-05-004R





Use 1/3 of total volume calculated for capping sandbags.

15631CY X 0.33 = [5158 CF]

DSR#: 109 - 05 - 004R

